

Susceptibility of different prunus rootstocks to natural infection of plum pox virus-Turkey (PPV-T) in Central Anatolia

Cemile Temur Cinar^{a,*}, Mona Gazel^b, Kamuran Kaya^b, Antonio Olmos^c Kadriye Caglayan^b

^aDepartment of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Erciyes University, 38030 Kayseri, Turkiye

^bDepartment of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, 31060 Hatay, Turkiye

^cInstituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA) 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

Corresponding author: Cemile Temur Cinar

ctemur@erciyes.edu.tr

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Erciyes University, 38030 Kayseri, Turkiye

Highlights

- Garnem and G677 were found to be resistant or less susceptible to plum pox virus.
- Vectors identified active in PPV-T transmission in regions 1000 meters above sea level.
- PPV-T was found as the most common strain in Central Anatolia Region in Turkey

Abstract

Sharka is the most damaging viral disease of *Prunus* spp. and the causative agent is plum pox virus (PPV). PPV is widespread and poses a serious problem in stone fruit crops in Turkey. A unique strain of PPV has so far been identified in Turkey and called as PPV-Turkey (PPV-T). The aims of the present study were to describe the efficiency of PPV-T transmission via aphids and to identify the susceptibility of different *Prunus* rootstocks against PPV-T strain under natural inoculum in Kayseri province located Central Anatolia Region of Turkey. This experiment was established in a small residential orchard where PPV-T infected apricot and plum trees were detected. Five different *Prunus* rootstocks from which 200 individuals were planted in PPV-T infected orchard and experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design with 10 replications per block. All rootstocks were regularly tested by DAS-ELISA and RT-PCR during 2015-2017. The results showed that the most susceptible rootstocks

in terms of PPV-T infection were Nemaguard, Myrobalan 29B and Myrobalan 29C with the infection rate of 3.61%, 2.74% and 1.04%, respectively. On the other hand, GF677 and Garnem were never found infected with PPV-T. *Aphis gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A. spiraecola*, *Brachycaudus helichrysi*, *Hyalopterus pruni*, *Myzus persicae*, *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* were detected as most abundant aphid species in experimental plot. In 2015, the highest percentage of viruliferous aphids was found as *A. gossypii* (100%), followed by *B. helichrysi* (77.8%), *A. spiraecola* (55.72%), *M. persicae* (46.05%) and *H. pruni* (30.76%) whereas it was 100% for *A. gossypii* and *M. euphorbiae* followed by *A. spiraecola* (76.32%), *M. persicae* (73.1%), *H. pruni* (62.06%) and *B. helichrysi* (61.53%) in 2016 by squash real time RT-PCR. The present study showed that PPV-T was effectively transmitted by different aphid species in natural conditions in Kayseri district. It was concluded that Nemaguard and Myrobalan which were found to be the most sensitive rootstocks to PPV-T should not be preferred by growers as *Prunus* rootstock where PPV poses a high risk.

Keywords: Sharka , Turkish strain, rootstock, aphid, DAS-ELISA, Real-Time RT-PCR.

1. Introduction

Plum pox virus (PPV) is a plant virus (genus *Potyvirus*, family *Potyviridae*) and it causes Sharka disease, which is one of the most devastating viral diseases of stone fruits. (Porcel *et al.*, 2018). It was described for the first time in Bulgaria in 1932 (Atanassov, 1932) and nowadays widespread worldwide, except in Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa. Sharka occurrence has serious agronomic, economic, and political consequences. The reduced fruit quality and premature fruit drop caused by sharka disease make stone fruit production very problematic and sparse local eradication programmes were not efficient enough to stop the continuous spread of PPV in the world (Cambra *et al.*, 2006). A conservative estimate of the losses resulted in unmarketable apricot fruits is 0.6 million metric tonnes per production year. This would imply that 18 million metric tonnes have been lost in the last 30 years with an estimated value of 3.6 billion euros in the world. The global economic losses are estimated at hundreds of millions of euros annually, and millions of PPV-infected peaches, apricot, and plum trees have been destroyed to prevent the spread of the infection (Cambra *et al.*, 2006).

In 2018, approximately 45 million metric tons of stone fruit including peaches, nectarines, plums, apricots, and cherries were produced worldwide in 7.3 million hectares (FAOSTAT, 2019). Global demand for stone fruit is getting increased due to its high nutritional

value and desirable taste. The major exporters of stone fruit are South Africa and Chile in the southern hemisphere whereas Spain and Turkey are the largest exporters in the northern hemisphere (Hortgro, 2018; Riva et al., 2020). In 2018, Turkey was in the top five largest stone fruit producer in the world with 5.91%, producing approximately 2.66 million tons (FAOSTAT, 2019). The proportion of stone fruit production of Turkey in the world, which included mostly cherries (25.1%), apricots (19.5%), sour cherries (12%), peaches and nectarines (3.2%), and plums (2.4%) (FAOSTAT, 2019).

PPV was discovered for the first time in Turkey in 1968 (Sahtiyancı, 1969). Although PPV-D, -M, Rec strains of PPV reported earlier in Turkey, a new strain called PPV-Turkey (PPV-T) was recently reported by Ulubas Serce et al. (2009) and described as the most common strain in our country (Gürcan and Ceylan, 2016; Teber et al., 2019). The main methods of controlling the disease are eradication of infected plants and breeding resistant crops that are not susceptible to the virus (Kutsenko and Budzanivska, 2020).

The use of PPV resistant or PPV tolerant *Prunus* rootstocks is an agronomic strategy to decrease the spread of the disease in nurseries and, therefore, in new orchards. PPV resistance has been reported in some *Prunus* rootstocks, such as BN 4Kr myroblan plum (Minoiu et al., 1998), GF677 (*Prunus dulcis* × *Prunus persica*), Myroblan 29C (*Prunus cerasifera*) and L2 (*Prunus lannesiana*) (Rubio et al., 2005). However, the susceptibility of the most important *Prunus* rootstocks used in the stone fruit industry to natural PPV infection by viruliferous aphid species has not been well evaluated under open field conditions up to last years (Vidal et al., 2010).

The rate of spread of the virus in the orchards depends on the distance between healthy trees and source of infection and the PPV transmission effectiveness depends on the sensitivity of culture and population density of aphids. But the main route of transmission of the virus occurs with the help of aphids (Fabre et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2006). The virus is spread locally by aphids in a non-persistent manner (Vidal et al., 2010). Once, introduction has occurred, the dissemination in the local place is for the action of more than 20 aphid species. These aphids can be natural vectors because they taste or feed on infected leaves, after they fly to other trees where they taste again or feed, spreading PPV between fruit trees (Barba et al., 2011; Porcel et al., 2018). Aphids were found to transmit PPV within a radius of 100 to 120 m of the source plants, but they have also been shown to carry the virus on their stylets for several kilometers if starved during flight (Levy et al., 2000). The most efficient aphid vectors of PPV have been reported as *M. persicae*, *A. gossipii* and *A. craccivora* (Muñoz and Collao, 2006; Porcel et al., 2018). PPV is vectored by aphids in a non-persistent manner and ‘stylet borne’

(carried at the stylet tips), transmitted to the new host plants through salivating during probing (Powell, 2005; Yang et al., 2019). The maximum ability of PPV transmission by aphids was recorded at temperatures of 20-23°C (Fabre et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2006). Transmission ability of PPV-T and PPV-M with aphids has been already demonstrated in Izmir (altitude of 30 m) and Hatay (altitude of 85 m), at altitudes close to sea level in the Aegean and Mediterranean regions in Turkey, respectively (Caglayan et al., 2013; Kaya et al., 2014). No studies have been conducted on which mountains might play an active role in PPV transmission in high altitude such as the Central Anatolian Region (altitude of Kayseri district: 1.054 m).

The main purposes of this study were to identify aphid species, responsible for transmission of PPV-T which is the most common strain in Kayseri district located in Central Anatolia Region of Turkey and study rootstock reactions to this PPV strain under natural inoculum conditions.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Experimental orchard

An experimental nursery plot was established in an orchard where PPV-T infected apricot and plum orchard were detected in previous studies (Gürcan et al., 2013a; Teber et al., 2019) (Table 1). Five different one-year old and *in vitro* propagated rootstocks such as Nemaguard (*P. persicae* × *P. davidiana*), Myrobolan 29 B and C (*P. cerasifera*), Garnem (*P. dulcis* × ((*P. persica* × *P. davidiana*) × *P. persica*))) and GF677 (*P. amygdalus* × *P. persica*) were used for the experiment. From each rootstock 200 plants were planted, and experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design with 10 replications per block. The plants were planted in two rows parallel to the inoculum source by using longitudinal design of 5 groups plants into each group (20 cm apart). The experimental field was managed according to standard nursery practices without any phytosanitary treatment.

2.2. Monitoring of PPV spread

Serological tests were carried out one year after rootstocks planting, i.e., May of 2015-2017. Rootstocks were regularly checked for PPV symptoms and individually sampled by collecting four fully expanded leaves from different parts of the canopy. Serological assay for PPV detection was by DAS-ELISA based on the 5B-IVIA (Cambra et al., 1994) monoclonal antibody kit (Bioreba, Switzerland), following the EPPO (2004) protocol for PPV detection.

2.3. *Molecular characterization of PPV isolates*

PPV-positive samples obtained by DAS-ELISA in the experimental plot and six *Prunus* (apricot and plum) samples randomly selected in the adjacent infected orchard were analyzed for PPV strain identification in the second year of the monitoring. Leaves exhibiting PPV symptoms were collected, and RNA was extracted using a plant total RNA kit (Geneaid Plant Total RNA Mini Kit, Taiwan). Ten µl of RNA were used for cDNA synthesis. PCR was performed using PPV universal primer pairs that amplify 835 bp fragments PP3, (5'-TTATCTCCAGGARTTGGAGC-3') ve PCI, (5'-TTGAGTCAAATGGRACAGTTGG-3') (Glasa et al., 2002). Cycling parameters were 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 1 min, followed by 72°C for 10 min. PCR amplicons were sequenced directly and analyzed using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST). Multiple alignments of the nucleotide sequences of these isolates and reference isolates for each PPV strain obtained from GenBank were performed using BioEdit (Hall, 1999). Cluster analysis was done with the Mega X program using the neighbor-joining method with nucleotide identity distances. Bootstrap analyses with 1000 replicates were performed to estimate the support for inferred phylogenies.

2.4. *Monitoring of aphid species and detection of viruliferous aphids*

Aphid populations were monitored during a two-year period (2015–2016) using the sticky shoot method by using a spray (Soveurode aerosol, Scotts Biosystèmes, France) (Avinent et al., 1993; Cambra et al., 2000; Marroquín et al., 2004). Winged aphid species were captured landing on the the experimental plot each year during from spring to late summer 2015 and 2016. Three fully expanded leaves per each tree were sprayed, and aphids landing on sprayed leaves remained stuck to the leaves. After 7 days, leaves with captured insects were picked and put in jars, and three new leaves were sprayed with the adhesive. Back in the laboratory, jars with the leaves were filled with turpentine. The collected aphids were washed 2–3 times with soapy water to remove traces of turpentine. The aphids were preserved in 70% ethanol for later identification and counting. Three sticky shoots from each rootstock species were collected each week and new shoots were sprayed for next collections. Collected shoots were processed to identify aphid species and estimate their numbers (Capote et al., 2008; Jevremović and Paunović, 2016). Identification of aphids was performed by using a stereomicroscope and keys for identification of alate aphids. Aphids were squashed individually on nylon membranes using the round bottom of an eppendorf tube to ensure complete disruption of each aphid. The nylon membranes with squashed aphids were stored in a dry place at room temperature until use. On

the membranes 0.5% Triton X-100 was added, vortexed and incubated for 2 min at room temperature (Osman and Rowhani, 2006) and analyzed by squash real time RT-PCR (Olmos et al., 2005).

Specific primers associated with the coat protein sequence of PPV (P241 primer, 5'-CGTTTATTTGGTTGGATGGAA-3'; P316D primer, 5'-GATTAACACACCAGCGGTGTG-3'; P316M primer, 5'-GATTCACGTCACAGCGGTGTG-3') and probe (5'-FAM-CGTCGGAACACAAGAAGAGGACACAGA-TAMRA-3') (Wetzel et al., 1991) with reporter florasan dye (6-carboxy-fluorescein; FAM) and tagged at the 3' end with quencher (6-carboxytetramethyl-rhodamine; TAMRA) (Olmos et al., 2005; Osman and Rowhani, 2006). Real-time RT-PCR master mix was prepared as suggested by the manufacturer (RNA Virus Master, version 08®, Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The master mix included 0.4 µL enzyme, 4 µL reaction buffer, 0.5 µM F1 primer, 0.5 µM R1 primer, 0.5 µM R2 primer, 0.2 µM probe and 5 µL viral RNA. The total volume of prepared mixture was 20 µL. The PCR conditions were 1 cycle at 45°C for 10 min, 1 cycle of 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 45 s. Real-time RT-PCR results were conducted to generated CT (threshold cycle) values through the Software 4.1® of Roche 480 II (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany).

3. Results

3.1. Evaluation of the susceptibility of different *Prunus* rootstocks to natural PPV-T infection by symptomological observation, DAS-ELISA, and RT-PCR

Individual rootstocks in the experimental plot were tested by DAS-ELISA in April and August of 2015 2016 and 2017. Not any PPV infected rootstock was detected in the first year of experiment (2015). The most susceptible rootstocks in terms of PPV-T infection rate were detected as Nemaguard, Myrobalan 29B and Myrobalan 29 C with the infection rate of 3.61%, 2.74% and 1.04% by DAS-ELISA and RT-PCR in 2016, respectively. The other three rootstocks were PPV-negative during three years of observations (Table 1).

Table 1. Natural incidence of Plum pox virus-T determined by DAS-ELISA and RT-PCR in different *Prunus* rootstocks in an experimental nursery plot in Kayseri province.

Name of the rootstocks	Number of infected / tested plants (2015)	Number of infected / tested plants (2016)	Number of infected / tested plants (2017)
Garnem	0/134	0/134	0/125*
GF 677	0/144	0/141	0/138
Myrobalan 29C	0/74	2/73	2/72
Myrobalan 29B	0/97	1/96	1/96
Nemaguard	0/192	6/166	6/170
Total plants	0/641	9/610	9/601

* Some rootstocks have dried up due to unsuitable weather conditions.

The most obvious symptoms on the PPV infected rootstocks were vein clearing and interveinal chlorosis (Fig. 1). Due to Turkish quarantine regulations, the PPV-infected orchard and all rootstocks were eradicated three years after the establishment of the experimental plots.



Fig. 1. Vein clearing and chlorosis on the leaves of Nemaguard (left) and Myrobalan 29C (right) rootstocks in the experimental plot due to Plum pox virus (T strain) infection.

3.2. Characterization of PPV isolates.

When the sequences of PCR amplicons obtained from nine PPV isolates were analyzed, 99% identity with the AnYnAp129, KrMrAp504 and P145 isolates of PPV-T (GenBank accession Nos. MF346257, MF346280 (Kayseri province of Turkey) and EU734802 (Ankara province of Turkey) was detected. The nucleotide sequence identity of the nine PPV isolates from the

experimental plot (GenBank accession Nos. Myrobolan 29C (MW413829, MW413820), Myrobolan 29B (MW413821), Nemaguard (MW413816, MW413817, MW413818, MW413823, MW413824, MW413825) and of six additional isolates from the adjacent apricot and plum orchard (GenBank accession Nos. MW413822, MW413826, MW413827, MW413828, MW413829, MW413830) was detected as 99%. Phylogenetic trees of the PPV sequences were inferred the neighbor-joining (NJ) method implemented in Mega X and all the isolates obtained in this study found to be belonging to the strain T. In the phylogenetic tree, all PPV-T isolates were grouped together in the first clade and closely related to PPV-An and some of the -M strains. While PPV-Rec, PPV-D and PPV-EA isolates clustered together in another clade but in the same branch as PPV-T, cherry and Winona isolates (PPV-CR, PPV-C and PPV-W) were grouped together in the second branch (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 Phylogenetic analysis of PPV-T isolates using neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstrap value. Samples studied in the current experiment were shown as bold square and circle. The resting sequences were downloaded from NCBI database.

When the PPV-T isolates obtained in this study were phylogenetically analyzed together with other PPV-T isolates deposited in the GenBank, our isolates were grouped together with other PPV-T isolates obtained from the same geographic region (Central anatolia) except one isolate (MF346280). All PPV-T Istanbul isolates grouped together in a different branch (**Fig. 3**).




Fig. 3 Phylogenetic analysis of PPV-T isolates using neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstrap value. Samples studied in the current experiment were shown as bold square and circle. The resting sequences were downloaded from NCBI database.

241

242

244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256



Fig 3. Mean number of aphid species caught each week using the sticky-plant method in the experimental plot established with five different *Prunus* rootstocks

In 2015, the highest percentage of viruliferous aphids was found in *A. gossypii* (100%), followed by *B. helichrysi* (77.77%), *A. spiraecola* (55.72%), *M. persicae* (46.05%), *H. pruni* (30.76%) and unidentified aphid species (30.76%) whereas it was 100% for *A. gossypii* and *M. euphorbiae* followed by *A. spiraecola* (76.32%), *M. persicae* (73.1%), *B. helichrysi* (61.53%), unidentified aphid species (50%), and *H. pruni* (62.06%) in 2016 (Table 2). During 2015–2016 the most frequent aphid species that are known as PPV vectors, captured in the in the experimental orchard belonged to the genus *Aphis* (*A. gossypii* and *A. spiraecola*).

Table 2. Occurrence of PPV-vector aphid species in the experimental plot in Kayseri. Number of individuals caught and their percentage among total aphid numbers in the seasons of 2015 and 2016 (May-August).

Aphid species	2015		2016	
	Number of identified aphid	Percentage %	Number of identified aphid	Percentage %
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	76	16.85	264	36.71
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	10	2.21	6	0.83
<i>A. spiraecola</i>	201	44.56	283	39.36
<i>Hyalopterus pruni</i>	143	31.70	145	20.16
<i>Brachycaudus helichrysi</i>	9	1.99	13	1.80
<i>A. craccivora</i>	0	0	1	0.13
<i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i>	1	0.22	3	0.41
Others	11	2.43	4	0.55
Total	451		719	

During the two years experiments, the highest percentage of viruliferous aphids were mostly found on Myrobalan 29B, Garnem, Myrobalan 29C, GF 677, Nemaguard. The two most common aphid species, *A. gossypii* and *A. spiraecola* were viruliferous at the highest percentage (Table 3, 4).

Table 3. The number of viruliferous aphids/total number of aphids species collected from different *Prunus* rootstocks in the experimental plot in 2015.

Aphid species	Nemaguard	Myrobalan 29 B	Myrobalan 29 C	GF 677	Garnem	Total
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	0/0	1/1	2/2	0/0	7/7	10/10 (%100)
<i>A. spiraecola</i>	26/44	18/28	22/43	23/40	23/46	112/201 (%55.72)
<i>A. craccivora</i>	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
<i>Hyalopterus pruni</i>	18/92	8/12	3/13	12/21	3/5	44/143 (%30.76)
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	15/44	12/12	7/8	1/12	0/0	35/76 (%46.05)
<i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i>	0/0	0/0	0/1	0/0	0/0	0/1
<i>Brachycaudus helichrysi</i>	3/4	1/1	0/0	3/4	0/0	7/9 (%77.77)
Others	4/11	0/2	0/0	0/0	0/0	4/13 (%30.76)
Total	66/195 (%33.85)	40/56 (%71.42)	34/67 (%50.74)	39/77 (%50.64)	33/58 (%56.89)	212/453 (%46.79)

Table 4. The number of viruliferous aphids/total number of aphids species collected from different *Prunus* rootstocks in the experimental plot in 2016

Aphid species	Nemaguard	Myrobalan 29 B	Myrobalan 29 C	GF 677	Garnem	Total
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	1/1	2/2	0/0	3/3	0/0	6/6 (%100)
<i>A. spiraecola</i>	64/70	21/31	34/61	43/57	54/64	216/283 (%76.32)
<i>A. craccivora</i>	0/0	0/0	0/1	0/0	0/0	0/1
<i>Hyalopterus pruni</i>	50/74	3/5	21/34	12/16	4/16	90/145 (%62.06)
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	78/130	4/4	10/12	51/60	50/58	193/264 (%73.1)
<i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i>	0/0	3/3	0/0	0/0	0/0	3/3 (%100)
<i>Brachycaudus helichrysi</i>	0/0	0/0	4/8	0/0	4/5	8/13 (%61.53)
Others	0/0	1/1	1/1	0/2	0/0	2/4 (%50)
Total	193/275 (%70.18)	34/46 (%73.91)	70/117 (%59.82)	109/138 (%78.98)	112/143 (%78.32)	518/719 (%72.04)

4. Discussion

The PPV isolates found in the *Prunus* orchard (inoculum source) and in the rootstocks of the experimental plot were found as PPV-T strain, which is a common strain in stone fruit plantations in Kayseri province, located in Central Anatolia region of Turkey. The PPV-T strain was already found to be widespread in residential gardens in Ankara, Izmir, Istanbul, Kayseri, Konya, and Thrace (Edirne, Kırklareli, and Tekirdag provinces) (Ilbagı end Cıtır, 2014; Gurcan end Ceylan, 2016). The presence of PPV-T is not suprising since this strain is the most common one in Central Anatolia and most of the regions in Turkey (Gürcan et al., 2013; Teber et al., 2019). although all PPV-T and -M strains clustered together which consistent with the previous studies, some PPV-M samples from Adriatic basin separated from the Anatolian samples (Gürcan et al., 2013; Teber et al., 2019).

In this study, under severe PPV inoculum pressure, the studied rootstocks exhibited differences in their susceptibility to PPV-T infection by aphids (Table 1). Nemaguard, Myrobalan 29B and Myrobalan 29C proved highly susceptible, with infection rates of 3.61%, 2.74% and 1.04% in both years respectively, in agreement with results from similar studies (Vidal et al., 2010; Milusheva and Bozhkova, 2013; Caglayan et al., 2013; Kaya et al., 2014). The susceptibility observed in Nemaguard, an interspecific cross between peach and *P. davidiana*, agrees with the results reported by Pascal et al. (2002) and Rubio et al. (2003), indicating a certain level of susceptibility to a PPV-M and -D isolates, respectively. Although it is known that *P. cerasifera* is susceptible to PPV (James and Thompson, 2006), different clones have been reported as resistant to PPV-D (Minoiu et al., 1998; Rubio et al., 2005). These results confirm the high susceptibility of Myrobalan 29C, Myrobalan 29B and Nemaguard to PPV-T but the symptoms on Myrobalan 29 B and C were always much milder than Nemaguard. GF677 and Garnem was described as tolerant to PPV-T isolates in the current study confirming previous studies reported in our country by Caglayan et al., 2013 and Kaya et al., 2014. The characterization of the resistance/susceptibility level of the rootstock is of priority in the search for new PPV resistance sources within *Prunus* spp. and the results presented here indicate high susceptibility to PPV within the genus.

This is the first study on epidemiological aspect of PPV-T in Central Anatolia region of Turkey where PPV is widespread. PPV has been transmitted by at least 20 aphid species, although only 4-6 are considered important vectors and many of them are likely to occur in Turkey. The most numerous migrant aphids trapped in Kayseri was *A. spiraecola* and it was already reported as an efficient vector of PPV in the Mediterranean region of Turkey (Kaya et al., 2014). The second and third most numerous species trapped in the experimental plot were *M. persicae* and *H. pruni*. *Myzus persicae* populations are widely used for transmission tests,

and it is one of the most efficient PPV vector in France and Spain (Llacer et al., 1992; Labonne et al., 1995).

Variation in the number of captured aphids in plum and apricot orchards may be explained by many factors that influence population dynamics of aphids. The major potential factors are, available plant hosts, predators, pathogens, plant quality, intensity of pesticide application and weather conditions (temperature and precipitation) (Leslie et al., 2009). In the present study, significant number of aphid species were captured in June (Fig. 3). Inconsistently, similar studies those were performed in the West (Çağlayan et al., 2013) and South (Kaya et al., 2014) parts of Turkey showed that the highest aphid population was observed earlier which was in the last week of May. When these findings are compared with the results of the current study, whereas South and West Turkey show typical Mediterranean climate and close to sea level, Central Anatolia shows harsh and arid climatic conditions and approximately 1000 m above from the sea level. Similar to Turkey's Mediterranean climate zones, the seasonal dynamics of captured aphid species on plum during three investigated years was presented in Serbia by Jevremović et al. (2016). There, they also found that highest aphid populations were observed in May and June (Jevremović et al., 2016). Using yellow sticky traps, the autumn peaks also were confirmed in apricot tree orchards in Austria and Hungary, but this did not occur in the South-East of France (Knoll et al., 2004). Using the sticky shoot method, the second peak in autumn was reported in Romania and in Bulgaria, but not in Spain (Knoll et al., 2004; Vidal et al., 2010). Knoll et al. (2004) explained that this autumn peak of flight activity is more important in the regions with cold winters where aphid populations exclusively overwinter as eggs.

In 2015, *A. spiraecola* and *H. pruni* were dominant whereas, in 2016, *A. spiraecola* and *M. persicae* were more dominant than other aphid species (Table 2). For epidemiological purposes, it is important to take into consideration the aphid species as well as the number of viruliferous aphids visiting the nursery plots. The most important PPV vectors reported from several countries are *B. cardui*, *B. helichrysi*, *M. persicae* and *Phorodon humuli* (Sullivan, 2011) and *A. spiraecola* (Cambra et al., 2006). Natural virus spread is low in summer but high in spring and autumn. Spring flights of *B. helichrysi*, *M. persicae*, and *P. humuli* are the most important for virus spread within and between orchards (Sullivan, 2011). In south of Turkey where close to Syria border, the dominant aphid species were *A. spiraecola* and *A. gossypii*, showing a peak in May in both 2011 and 2012; and the percent ages of PPV-viruliferous aphids were 12.5% in *A. spiraecola*, 12.42% in *A. gossypii*, and 11.11% in *H. pruni* (Kaya et al., 2014). Based on the fact that the virus-positive insect rate for both *A. spiraecola* and *A. gossypii* was

high, they are likely to pose a high PPV transmission risk (Olmos et al., 2005). Therefore, aphids may carry the virus in their intestinal tract after having fed on a PPV-infected tree without being a vector of PPV. However, the detection of PPV in flying aphids is a very important indication that active sources of PPV are present in or around the area, meaning a high risk of spreading PPV disease in cases where PPV-positive aphids, particularly *A. spiraecola* and *A. gossypii*, were detected in the area. For epidemiological purposes, it is important to take into consideration the aphid vector species as well as the number of PPV-viruliferous aphids visiting the nursery plots. Air temperature has previously been shown to be important in determining the detectability of virus in sticky-trapped thrips (Okazaki et al., 2011). Atmospheric temperatures vary between seasons and sites according to region, aspect, elevation, and exposure. This is highlighted by extensive recognition of temperature as a factor in the interannual variability of aphid population dynamics contributing to virus epidemiology (Fabre et al., 2006; Kendall et al., 1992; Powell and Bale, 2006).

In conclusion, the combined use of resistant or less susceptible PPV rootstocks, such as Garnem and GF677, together with environmentally friendly treatments with zero residues could constitute an integrated strategy to reduce PPV spread in the nursery industry. Combined with accurate detection methods, our findings will play an important role in PPV control in nurseries, which are the most frequent sources of long-distance distributions of infected material worldwide. These strategies could be also applied to prevent/reduce the PPV spread in new orchards. The scarcity of resistant rootstocks for the different stone fruits highlights the need for breeding PPV resistant rootstocks for these species. Feeding this data into an aggregated model would help to reliably forecast the economic risk posed to an individual crop following primary infection and secondary spread of the disease, taking into account growth stage at vector ingression and seasonal temperatures. Understanding of the complex nature of PPV epidemiology, including the influence of surrounding land use, could be improved using this tool and contribute to the establishment of economic thresholds for insecticide application.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Cemile Temur Cinar: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Mona Gazel:** Data curation, Writing – review & editing. **Kamuran Kaya:** Data curation, Writing – review & editing. **Antonio Olmos:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision. **Kadriye Caglayan:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Resources, Project administration, Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

Funding: This study was partly supported by funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 734736-VirFree project.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Atanassov, D., 1932. Plum pox. A new virus disease. *Annals University of Sofia Faculty of Agriculture and Silviculture* 11: 49-69.
- Avinent, L., de Mendoza, A.H., Llacer, G., 1993. Comparison of sampling methods to evaluate aphid populations (Homoptera, Aphidinea) alighting on apricot trees. *Agronomie*, 13, 609–613.
- Barba, M., Hadidi, A., Cyresse, T., Cambra, M., 2011. “Plum pox virus” In *Virus and Virus-Like Diseases of Pome and Stone Fruits*. The American Phytopathological Society, pp. 185-97.
- Çaglayan, K., Serce, C.U., Gazel, M., Kaya, K., Cengiz, F.C., Vidal, E., Cambra, M., 2013. Evaluation of the Susceptibility of Different Prunus Rootstocks to Natural Infection of Plum Pox Virus-T. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 95 (3), 579-586.
- Cambra, M., Asensio, M., Gorris, Mt., Perez, E., Camarasa, E., Garcia, J.A., Moya, J.J., Lopez-Abella, D., Vela, C., Sanz, A., 1994. Detection Plum pox potyvirus using monoclonal antibodies to structural and non-structural proteins. *EPPO Bull.* 24: 569–577.
- Cambra, M., Capote, N., Cambra, M.A., Llacer, G., Botella, P., Lopez-Quilez, A., 2006. Epidemiology of sharka disease in Spain. *OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* 36: 271-275.
- Cambra, M., Gorris, M.A.T., Marroquín, C., Román, M.P., Olmos, A., Martínez, M.C., 2000. Incidence and epidemiology of Citrus tristeza virus in the Valencian Community of Spain. *Virus Research*, 71, 85–95.
- Capote, N., Perez-Panades, J., Monzo, C., Carbonell, E.A., Urbaneja, A., Scorza, R., Ravelonandro M, Cambra M, 2008. Assessment of the diversity and dynamics of Plum pox virüs and aphid populations in transgenic European plums under Mediterranean conditions. *Transgenic Research*, 17: 367-377.
- EPPO, 2004. Diagnostic protocol for regulated pests. Plum pox potyvirus. *OEPP Bull. /EPPO Bull.* 34: 247–256.

424 Fabre, F., Pierre, J. S., Dedryver, C., Plantegenest, M., 2006. Barley yellow dwarf disease risk
 425 assessment based on Bayesian modeling of aphid population dynamics. *Ecological*
 426 *Modelling*, 193(3–4), 457–466.

427 FAOSTAT. Available online: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/es/#data/QC> (accessed on 15
 428 November 2019).

429 García, J.A., Lucini, C., García, B., Alamillo, J.M. and López-Moya, J.J., 2006 Use of Plum
 430 pox virus as a plant expression vector. *EPPO Bull.* 36, 341–345.

431 Glasa, M., Marie-Jeanne, V., Moury, B., Kúdela, O., Quiot, J.B., 2002. Molecular variability
 432 of the P3-6K1 genomic region among geographically and biologically distinct isolates of
 433 Plum pox virus. *Arch Virol* 147, 563-575.

434 Gürcan, K., Ceylan, A., 2016. Strain identification and sequence variability of Plum pox virus
 435 in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 40, 746–60

436 Gürcan, K., Ceylan, A., Akbulut, M., Değirmenci, K. 2013. PPV-T is common at home gardens
 437 of Central Anatolia. Book of abstracts. 2nd International Symposium on Plum pox virüs.
 438 Olomouc, Czech Republic, September 3-6, 21.

439 Hall, T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
 440 program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl. Acids Symp. Ser.* 41: 95–98.

441 Hortgro, 2018. Key Deciduous Fruit Statistics. [Internet document] URL
 442 <https://www.hortgro.co.za/markets/key-deciduous-fruit-statistics/>. Accessed 27/08/2019.

443 İlbagı, H., Cıtır, A., 2014. Detection and partial molecular characterization of Plum pox virus
 444 on almond trees in Turkey. *Phytoparasitica.* 42,485–91.

445 James, D., Thompson, D., 2006. Host and symptoms of Plum pox virus: ornamental and wild
 446 *Prunus* species. *EPPO Bulletin* 36, 222–224.

447 Jevremovic, D., Paunovic, S.A., 2016. Flight dynamics and species composition of aphids
 448 landing on plum and apricot leaves in the orchards in Western Serbia. Olivera Petrovic-
 449 Obradovic *Phytoparasitica.* 44, 501–511.

450 Kaya, K., Gazel, M., Serçe, Ç. U., Elçi, E., Cengiz, F. C., Cambra, M., Çağlayan, K., 2014.
 451 Potential vectors of Plum pox virus in the Eastern Mediterranean Region of Turkey.
 452 *Entomologia Generalis.* 35, 137–150.

453 Kendall, D. A., Brain, P., & Chinn, N. E., 1992. A simulation model of the epidemiology of
 454 barley yellow dwarf virus in winter sown cereals and its application to forecasting.
 455 *Journal of Applied Ecology.* 29, 414–426.

Knoll, M., Weilguni, S., Koschier, E. H., Redl, H., Steinkellner, S., 2004. Seasonal fluctuation in the flight activity of potential PPV-vector aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) in Austrian apricot tree orchards. *Journal of Plant Disease and Protection*. 111, 408–415.

Kutsenko, O., Budzanivska, I., 2020. Distribution and transmission of Plum pox virus in Ukraine. *Agriculture and Forestry*. 66, 49-56.

Labonne, G., Yvon, M., Quiot, J., Avinent, L., Llacer, G., 1995. Aphids as potential vectors of plum pox virus: comparison of methods of testing and epidemiological consequences. *Acta Horticulturae*. 386, 207–218.

Leslie, T. W., van der Werf, W., Bianchi, F. J. J. A., Honek, A., 2009. Population dynamics of cereal aphids: influence of a shared predator and weather. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*. 11, 73–82.

Levy, L., Damsteegt, V., Scorza, R., Kolber, M., 2000. Plum pox potyvirus disease of stone fruits. APSnet Features. doi:10.1094/APSnetFeature-2000-0300.

Llacer, G., Avinent, L., & de Mendoza, A. H. (1992). Epidemiology of plum pox (sharka) virus in Valencia (Spain). *Acta Horticulturae*, 309, 129–134.

Marroquín, C., Olmos, A., Gorris, T. M. A., Bertolini, E., Martínez, C. M., Carbonell, E. A., 2004. Estimation of the number of aphids carrying Citrus tristeza virus that visit adult citrus trees. *Virus Research*. 100, 101–108.

Milusheva, S., Bozhkova, V., 2013. Reaction of six Prunus rootstocks to Plum pox virus in conditions of Plovdiv Region of Bulgaria. 2nd International Symposium on Plum pox virus. Olomouc, Czech Republic. 59.

Minoiu, M., Maxim, A., Vladianu, D., Platon, I., Balaci, R., 1998. New results concerning the Plum pox virus epidemiology and resistance of plum cultivars, hybrids and rootstocks. *Acta Virologica*. 42, 244–247.

Moury, B., Desbiez, C., Jacquemond, M., Lecoq, H., 2006. Genetic diversity of plant virus populations: towards hypothesis testing in molecular epidemiology. *Advances in Virus Research*. 67, 49-87.

Muñoz, M., Collao, M., 2006. Plum pox virus in Chile. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO* 36: 205.

Olmos, A., Bertolini, E., Gil, M., Cambra, M., 2005. Real-time assay for quantitative detection of non-persistently transmitted Plum pox virus RNA targets in single aphids. *Journal of Virological Methods*. 128, 151-155.

Olmos, A., Dasi, M.A., Candresse, T., Cambra, M., 1996. Print-capture PCR: a simple and highly sensitive method for the detection of Plum pox virus (PPV) in plant tissues. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 24, 2192–2193.

490 Okazaki, S., Okuda, M., Komi, K., Yamasaki, S., Okuda, S., Sakurai, T., Iwanami, T., 2011.
 491 The effect of virus titre on acquisition efficiency of Tomato spotted wilt virus by
 492 *Frankliniella occidentalis* and the effect of temperature on detectable period of the virus
 493 in dead bodies *Australasian Plant Pathol.* 40, 120–125.

494 Osman, F., Rowhani, A., 2006. Application of a spotting sample preparation technique for the
 495 detection of pathogens in woody plants by RT-PCR and real-time PCR (TaqMan). *journal*
 496 *of Virological Methods.* 133, 130-13.

497 Pascal, T., Pfeiffer, F., Kervella, J., 2002. Preliminary observations on the resistance to Sharka
 498 in peach and related species. *Acta Horticulturae.* 592, 699-704.

499 Porcel, L., Picca, C., Fuentes, C., Ojeda E., 2018. Plum Pox Virus (PPV) Dispersion in Plum
 500 Trees (*Prunus domestica*. L) CV. D'Agen. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology.* 6,
 501 268-273.

502 Powell, G., 2005. Intracellular salivation is the aphid activity associated with inoculation of
 503 non-persistently transmitted viruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* 86, 469–472.

504 Powell, S. J., Bale, J. S., 2006. Effect of long-term and rapid cold hardening on the cold torpor
 505 temperature of an aphid. *Physiological Entomology.* 31, 348–352.

506 Riva, S.C., Oparaa, U.O., Fawole, O. A., 2020. Recent developments on postharvest application
 507 of edible coatings on stone fruit: A review. *Scientia Horticulturae.* 262, 109074.

508 Rubio, M., Martinez-Gomez, P., Dicenta, F., 2003. Resistance of almond cultivars to Plum pox
 509 virus (Sharka). *Plant Breeding.* 122, 462-464.

510 Rubio, M., Martinez-Gomez, P., Pinochet, J. Dicenta, F., 2005. Evaluation of resistance to
 511 sharka (Plum pox virus) of several *Prunus* rootstocks. *Plant Breeding.* 124, 67-70.

512 Sahtiyancı, S., 1969. Virus de la sharka chez le prunier. *Bulletin Phytosanitaire FAO.* 17 (3).

513 Sullivan, M., 2011. CPHST Pest Datasheet for Plum pox virus. USDA-APHIS-PPQCPHST.

514 Teber, S., Ceylan, A., Gurcan, K., Candresse, T., Ulubas Serce, C., Akbulut, M., S. Kaymak,
 515 S., Akbas, B., 2019. Genetic diversity and molecular epidemiology of the T strain of Plum
 516 pox virus. *Plant Pathology.* 68, 755–763.

517 Ulubaş Serçe, Ç., Candresse, T., Svanella-Dumas, L., Krizbai, L., Gazel, M., Çağlayan, K.,
 518 2009. further characterization of a new recombinant group of Plum pox virus isolates,
 519 PPV-T, found in orchards in the Ankara province of Turkey. *Virus Research.* 142, 121-
 520 126.

521 Vidal, E., Moreno, A., Bertolini1, E., Pe´ rez-Panade´ s, J., Carbonell, E.A., Cambra, M., 2010.
 522 Susceptibility of *Prunus* rootstocks to natural infection of Plum pox virus and effect of
 523 mineral oil treatments. *Annals of Applied Biology.* 157, ISSN 0003-4746.

524 Yang, Q., Arthurs, S., Lu, Z., Liang, Z., Mao, R., 2019. Use of horticultural mineral oils to
525 control potato virus Y (PVY) and other non-persistent aphid-vectored viruses. Crop
526 Protection. 118, 97–103.

527 Wetzel, T., Candresse, T., Ravelonandro, M., Delbos, R.P., Mazyad H., Aboul-Ata A.E.,
528 Dunez, J., 1991. Nucleotide sequence of the 3' terminal region of the RNA from a widely
529 divergent strain (El Amar) of Plum pox potyvirus. J. Gen. Vir. 72, 1741–1746.

530